JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDA	NTS							
Michelle A. Major			Tredyffrin	Tredyffrin Township					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Chester (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LA	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			2000	Attorneys (If Known)					
Scott M. Pollins, Pollins Law, 303 W. Lancaster Ave.,			2 2	Andrew B. Adair, Deasey, Mahoney & Valentini, Ltd.					
Ste. 1C, Wayne, PA 19087, 610-896-9909			7 11101011 21	, .aa.,	Dodooj, manon	,,,	.=		
	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Bo		. CITIZENSHIP C)F PRI	INCIPAL PARTII	ES (Place an "X" in	One Box fo	r Plaintif)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	x 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Citizen of This State	Only) PTF				DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of P		Citizen of Another State	2		and Principal Place In Another State	5	<u></u>	
8			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3 Foreign Natio	n	6	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.						
110 Insurance	CONTRACT TORTS 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJU		FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure		BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158		375 False Claims Act		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury- Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities- Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities- Other 448 Education 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability 463 Alien Detainee Sentence Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement		LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions		423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviate New Drug Applicat 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secre Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUIT 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintif or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	376 Qui Ta 3729(a 3729(a 400 State R 410 Antitru 430 Banks: 450 Commod 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrupi ets 480 Consur (15 US 485 Teleph Protect 490 Cable/3 850 Securit (g)) Exchar 890 Other S 891 Agricu 893 Enviror 894 Arbitra 895 Arbitra 896 Arbitra 896 Arbitra 897 Admin Act/Re Agency 950 Constit	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	noved from 3 Rema te Court Appel	llate Court	Reopened A	ransferre Another I Specify)	District Litiga Transi	tion -	Multidist Litigation Direct F	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute u Title VII	ınder which you are fili	ing (Do not cite jurisdiction	nal statut	es unless diversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause: Gender discriminatory failure	e to promote female poli	ice officer						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER RULE 23, F.R.		DEMAND &	ed	CHECK YES C JURY DEMA	only if demanded in ND: XYes	n complair	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions): JUD	GE	7/1/		DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE 9/27/21		IGNATURE OF ATTORN	NEW OF RICORD	1					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				1					
RECEIPT # AMOUNT		APPLYING IFP	JUD	OGE	MAG	. JUDGE			

Case 2:21-cv-04253-JS Document 1 Filed 09/27/21 Page 2 of 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: Chester County, PA						
Address of Defendant: 110 DuPortail Road, Berwyn, PA 19312						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:						
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Volume No No Volume No Volume No Volume No Volume No Volume No No Volume No No Volume No No Volume No No No Volume No No Volume No No No No No No No No No N						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: O9/27/2021 Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)						
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)						
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Patent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ 7. Civil Rights □ 7. Products Liability □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 8. Products Liability – Asbestos □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 10. Social Security Review Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):						
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION						
I, Scott M. Pollins, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: Relief other than monetary damages is sought						
DATE: 09/27/2021 Compared to the property of the property o						
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHELLE A. MAJOR

Plaintiff : CIVIL ACTION NO.

TREDYFFRIN TOWNSHIP : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant

COMPLAINT

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff, Michelle A. Major (Major), is suing her current employer, Tredyffrin Township and its police department (TTPD), for gender discrimination for failing to promote Major from a Sergeant to a Lieutenant. Major brings this action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991 (Title VII) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act (PHRA). TTPD has failed to promote Major from a Sergeant to a Lieutenant due to gender discrimination. Major seeks back pay, front pay, compensatory damages, interest, costs, negative tax consequence damages, injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees from TTPD. The inability of women like Major to advance to the highest positions with the Tredyffrin Township Police Department/TTPD is due to the gender-based and long-standing 'good old boys club' and 'glass ceiling' within the TTPD which inhibits, prohibits and denies career advancement to deserving women police workers.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f). Furthermore, TTPD's conduct with regard to Major violated the PHRA, and the pendant jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to remedy those violations.
- 3. Major has exhausted all remedies available to her as set forth in Title VII and the PHRA. On October 15, 2020, Major timely filed a charge with the Equal Employment

Opportunity Commission (EEOC), which charge was dual filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. The EEOC issued a notice of right to sue to Major on July 26, 2021. This action is filed with 90 days of Major's receipt of the EEOC's notice of right to sue.

4. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pa. because Major's claims arose in this judicial district.

III. <u>PARTIES</u>

- 5. Major is a female who resides in Chester County, PA.
- 6. TTPD is a municipal entity, and at all time relevant to this Complaint had about 200 employees.
- 7. in 1995, Major started working for TTPD as a patrol officer. In 2003, Major was promoted to be a Corporal. In 2012, Major was promoted to be a Sergeant.
- 8. In the nearly 100-year history of TTPD, no female has ever held a higher position than Sergeant.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. In 2017 and again in 2020, Major sought to be promoted from Sergeant (Sgt.) to Lieutenant (Lt.). Major is suing TTPD in this case for only the 2020 discriminatory promotion decision.
- 10. On July 15, 2020, TTPD Superintendent T. Michael Beaty (Beaty) announced that TTPD was undertaking a promotional process for an Administrative Lieutenant. According to Beaty's July 15 memo to all personnel, interested candidates needed a minimum of 5 years of experience with TTPD and have held the rank of Sergeant for 2 years. Beaty's memo stated that the successful candidate will have demonstrated leadership traits that promote the mission of TTPD. Beaty's memo also stated that the examination process will include an interview panel

conducted by the Supt., Lt. Timothy Brown (Brown) and a neutral law enforcement executive, as well as a review of the candidate's work history, training and evaluations.

- 11. Shortly thereafter and in July 2020, Major submitted her application. She hoped to break through TTPD's gender-based 'good old boys club' and 'glass ceiling' and become the first woman in the history of TTPD to advance beyond Sgt.
- 12. Once TTPD knew that there 5 applications for the Lt. position, Major and 4 other males, TTPD changed the rules by taking away the presence of a neutral law enforcement executive during the interview process. Had the neutral law enforcement executive participated in the interview process, that person would have realized that Major's qualifications for promotion to Lieutenant far exceeded those of her male colleagues. Major learned that only Beaty and Brown would conduct interviews and no neutral law enforcement executive would be part of the promotion process.
 - 13. Brown is the head of TTPD's gender-based 'good old boys club'.
- 14. On August 12, 2020, Major interviewed for the Lt. position. Later that same day, she found out that she was not going to be promoted and instead her male colleague, Sgt. Tyler Moyer (Moyer), was going to be promoted to Lt. pending approval at a September 21 meeting of the Board of Supervisors.
- 15. Major's qualifications for Lt. far exceed those of Moyer. Major has a bachelor of science in organizational leadership and master of science in strategic leadership. She is also a distinguished graduate of the FBI National Academy in Quantico, Virginia. Moyer has a bachelor's but no further leadership or strategy degrees and he did not graduate from the FBI National Academy.

- 16. The FBI National Academy is a professional course of study for U.S. and international law enforcement managers nominated by their agency heads because of demonstrated leadership qualities. The 10-week program—which provides coursework in intelligence theory, terrorism and terrorist mindsets, management science, law, behavioral science, law enforcement communication, and forensic science—serves to improve the administration of justice in police departments and agencies at home and abroad and to raise law enforcement standards, knowledge, and cooperation worldwide. National Academy FBI (accessed 8/3/21).
- 17. Brown groomed Moyer for the Lt. position that Major was clearly more qualified for. Moyer was part of Brown's 'good old boys club'. Before Moyer was discriminatorily promoted over Major, he was often called A4 by many in the police department. This is because everyone knew he had privileges (including being a member of the 'good old boys club') that others did not, particularly Major. Prior to Moyer's promotion, TTPD's administration allowed Moyer access to use of computer software that Major was not allowed access to.
- 18. Since 2017 when Beaty came on as Supt. of TTPD, he's made no effort to get to know Major as one of his leaders in the police department. Instead, Beaty's perception of Major is polluted by the gender discriminatory lens of TTPD's 'good old boys club' led by Brown and his predecessor, Joseph Glatts (Glatts).
- 19. TTPD claims that it promoted Moyer over Major because 1) Moyer came prepared to his interview with an interdepartmental communication plan, 2) he had creative suggestions to improve the administrative functions of the position, and 3) he demonstrated superior technological proficiency.

- 20. The job description did not mention interdepartmental communication as any 1 of the29 Essential Job Functions nor was this listed among the Minimum Requirements of ThisPosition.
- 21. Although the job description lists 'new management techniques' as 1 of the 29 Essential Job Functions and it's possible this might be construed to include improvements to administrative functions, this is only 1 of 29 essential job functions and represents a narrow slice of the job.
- 22. The 5th of 5 Minimum Requirements of This Position required ability to use or operate the following: computer literate: standard software and proprietary police software.

 Standard police department equipment: police car, police radio, speed timing devices, handgun and other weapons, handcuffs, breath testing equipment, pager, first aid equipment. Nowhere on the job description did it state that any particular superior technical proficiency was required.
- 23. On September 15, 2020, Major submitted an internal gender discrimination complaint to TTPD. At no time during the 5 week period between TTPD announcing Moyer was going to be promoted and Major submitting her gender discrimination complaint did Beaty, Brown or Captain Joseph Glatts (Glatts), who was retiring as Captain, approach Major and offer her any guidance to help her understand what her alleged deficiencies were, why she was less qualified than Moyer to be hired as Lt., and what she needed to work on to be promoted. Instead, they did not care to reach out to Major because they wanted her to remain under the gender discriminatory 'glass ceiling' at TTPD.
- 24. After Major submitted her internal gender discrimination complaint, Beaty, Brown and Glatts mostly ignored Major and acted like she did not exist. Even Moyer ignored her as well.

- 25. Beaty used to check in with Major as she is in charge of the traffic unit. Beaty and Brown would regularly visit the office to discuss traffic related issues or just to chat. That almost 100% stopped (at least while Major is present in the office). The corporal of her unit is in TTPD's 'good old boys club', and the administration used to honor that she was the ranking officer, but the chain of command became mostly ignored because the information began to be passed mostly through the corporal which is not customary. Beaty and Brown barely acknowledged Major's existence. This conduct continued throughout the last few months of 2020 and into 2021.
- 26. While TTPD has a promotion policy, it is outdated having last been revised in 1993. According to Section III.7 of the promotion policy, TTPD should keep an eligibility list for each promotion. However, TTPD has haphazardly complied with this, sometimes keeping an eligibility list, sometimes not. For the 2020 Lt. promotion, Beaty announced the promotion process, which included using a third party neutral to interview the candidates, but then eliminated this guard against discriminatorily influenced promotion decisions at the last minute.
- 27. TTPD claimed due to schedules they would forego using a neutral evaluator. However, all of the interviews were conducted on the same day. There is no legitimate reason that TTPD could not have the neutral evaluator. Additionally, there was no rush to have the interviews. They could have easily scheduled the interviews to accommodate everyone's schedule while allowing for the neutral evaluator.
- 28. The COVID-19 pandemic was also not a legitimate reason for TTPD to forego using the neutral evaluator in August 2020 for the Lt. interviews. In January 2021, TTPD conducted interviews for a detective position, and TTPD allowed a neutral evaluator to participate in these interviews.

- 29. Because Major had applied in 2017 to be promoted to Lt. but was not hired back then, TTPD knew that she had aspirations to be promoted. But TTPD's administration did nothing between 2017 and 2020 to support Major and her desire to be promoted. Instead, Major, on her own initiative, obtained training that enabled her to far exceed the qualifications of her male counterparts, including Moyer.
- 30. In 2017, Major obtained letters of reference to support her promotion to Lt. When Beaty posted for the 2020 lieutenant position, he specifically stated that he did not want any reference letters.
 - 31. TTPD failed to promote Major due to gender discrimination.
- 32. Major has suffered, is now suffering and will continue to suffer emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, inconvenience, mental anguish, career damage and other losses as a direct result of TTPD's illegal conduct.

V. CLAIMS

COUNT I – GENDER DISCRIMINATION TITLE VII and PHRA

- 33. Paragraphs 1 through 32 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. The acts, failures to act, practices and policies of TTPD set forth above constitute gender discrimination in violation of Title VII and the PHRA.
- 35. As a result of TTPD's illegal gender discrimination, Major has suffered harms and losses in the form of back pay and benefits, front pay and benefits and emotional distress including anxiety, stress, humiliation, career damage and embarrassment.

WHEREFORE, Major demands judgment in her favor and against TTPD, for compensatory damages, back pay, instatement to the Lieutenant position, negative tax consequence damages, attorney's fees plus costs, declaratory relief that the conduct engaged in by TTPD violated Major's civil rights, equitable/injunctive relief directing TTPD to cease any and all unlawful gender discrimination and requiring an external monitor be appointed to report to the Court quarterly for 36 months on TTPD's diversity and inclusion efforts in its leadership, and such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Scott M. Pollins

Scott M. Pollins/Pa. Atty. Id. No. 76334

Pollins Law

303 W. Lancaster Ave., Ste. 1C

Wayne, PA 19087

(610) 896-9909 (phone)/(610) 896-9910 (fax)

scott@pollinslaw.com (email)

Date: 9/27/21 Attorney for Plaintiff, Michelle A. Major